

1 NICOLA T. HANNA
2 United States Attorney
3 BRANDON D. FOX
4 Assistant United States Attorney
5 Chief, Criminal Division
6 BRYANT Y. YANG (Cal. Bar No. 252943)
7 BRITTNEY MICHELLE HARRIS (Cal. Bar No. 294650)
8 VERONICA M.A. ALEGRIÁ (Cal. Bar No. 290655)
9 Assistant United States Attorneys
10 International Narcotics,
11 Money Laundering, & Racketeering Section
12 1400 United States Courthouse
13 312 North Spring Street
14 Los Angeles, California 90012
15 Telephone: (213) 894-0166/0488/3493
16 Facsimile: (213) 894-0142
17 E-mail: bryant.yang@usdoj.gov
18 brittney.harris@usdoj.gov
19 veronica.alegria@usdoj.gov

20
21 Attorneys for Plaintiff
22 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

23
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
25 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

26 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 17-432(A)-DSF-44
27 Plaintiff, ORDER OF DETENTION
28 v.
29 JOSE PONCE SEGURA
30 aka "Guicho,"
31 Defendant.

32
33 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation for the Court
34 to Order Detention for Defendant JOSE PONCE SEGURA ("defendant").

35 FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, the Court hereby finds:

36 Defendant's case allegedly involves a narcotics controlled
37 substance offense with a maximum sentence of ten or more years. The
38 Government further alleges that there is a serious risk that the
39 defendant will flee. The Government is entitled to a rebuttable

1 presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will
2 reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required and the
3 safety of any person or the community.

4 The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions
5 will reasonably assure:

- 6 a. the appearance of the defendant as required and/or
- 7 b. the safety of any person or the community.

8 The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by
9 sufficient evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by
10 statute.

11 The Court has considered:

12 a. the nature and circumstances of the offenses) charged,
13 including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime
14 of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance,
15 firearm, explosive, or destructive device;

16 b. the weight of evidence against the defendant;
17 c. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and
18 d. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person
19 or to the community.

20 The Court bases the foregoing findings on the following:

21 1. As to flight risk: Lack of bail resources, Refusal to
22 interview with Pretrial Services, Ties to foreign countries, and
23 Unrebutted presumption (18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2));

24 2. As to danger: Allegations in present charging document and
25 Unrebutted presumption (18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)); and

26 3. Defendant has submitted to detention.

27 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to
28 trial.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 1, 2020

Honorable Dale S. Fischer
Honorable Dale S. Fischer
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

/s/

VERONICA M.A. ALEGRIA
Assistant United States Attorney